## County Table 3.—Farms and Farm Acreage by Tenure

### Farms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>The State</th>
<th>Adams</th>
<th>Allen</th>
<th>Barbour</th>
<th>Benton</th>
<th>Boone</th>
<th>Brown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>All farm operators</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1901-1905</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1906-1910</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1911-1915</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1916-1920</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1921-1930</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1931-1940</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1941-1950</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1951-1960</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1961-1970</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1971-1980</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1981-1990</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
<td>1,262</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>702</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Land in farms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Value (in Millions of Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>2,756</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cropland harvested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cropland harvested</th>
<th>Value (in Millions of Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1930</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1,285,160</td>
<td>1,798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Indiana Censuses of 1959 and 1964

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>1959</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carroll</td>
<td>1,303</td>
<td>1,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>1,595</td>
<td>1,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>1,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>1,676</td>
<td>1,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>1,638</td>
<td>1,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford</td>
<td>1,271</td>
<td>1,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur</td>
<td>1,128</td>
<td>1,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeKalb</td>
<td>1,493</td>
<td>1,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>1,506</td>
<td>1,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>1,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elkhart</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>1,037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Data Summary

- The table above provides a summary of population data for various counties in Indiana in 1959 and 1964.
- The data includes the population counts for each county in both years.
- The table highlights the changes in population between 1959 and 1964 for each county.

Note: The data is presented in a tabular format for easy readability and analysis.
## Statistics for Counties

### County Table 3. - Farms and Farm Acreage by Tenure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>La Porte</th>
<th>Lawrence</th>
<th>Madison</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
<th>Martin</th>
<th>Mason</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All farm operators</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td>1,792</td>
<td>1,477</td>
<td>2,059</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>2,086</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>1,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid operators</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>2,077</td>
<td>1,706</td>
<td>2,187</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>1,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full operators</td>
<td>1,307</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>1,414</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part operators</td>
<td>1,295</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>1,038</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of tenure</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 All tenants</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Full owners</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>1,374</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Part owners</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Land in Farms:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>La Porte</th>
<th>Lawrence</th>
<th>Madison</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
<th>Martin</th>
<th>Mason</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All tenants</td>
<td>155,080</td>
<td>194,910</td>
<td>67,384</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full owners</td>
<td>155,080</td>
<td>194,910</td>
<td>67,384</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part owners</td>
<td>155,080</td>
<td>194,910</td>
<td>67,384</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
<td>81,140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cropland Harvested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>La Porte</th>
<th>Lawrence</th>
<th>Madison</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
<th>Martin</th>
<th>Mason</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All farm operators</td>
<td>1,684</td>
<td>1,479</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid operators</td>
<td>1,684</td>
<td>1,479</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full operators</td>
<td>1,684</td>
<td>1,479</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part operators</td>
<td>1,684</td>
<td>1,479</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of tenure</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Farm Operators:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Lake</th>
<th>La Porte</th>
<th>Lawrence</th>
<th>Madison</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
<th>Martin</th>
<th>Mason</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All non-farm operators</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td>1,792</td>
<td>1,477</td>
<td>2,059</td>
<td>1,969</td>
<td>2,086</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>1,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid non-farm operators</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>2,077</td>
<td>1,706</td>
<td>2,187</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>1,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full non-farm operators</td>
<td>1,307</td>
<td>1,048</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>1,414</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part non-farm operators</td>
<td>1,295</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>1,038</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of tenure</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indiana Census of 1890 and 1900

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indiana County</td>
<td>1,554,577</td>
<td>1,675,540</td>
<td>1,852,846</td>
<td>2,110,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boone</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>4,200</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cass</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaGrange</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starke</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulaski</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The above table represents the population data for various counties in Indiana. The population figures are given in thousands for the specified census years.