



Crop Progress

ISSN: 1948-3007

Released June 20, 2011, by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Agricultural Statistics Board, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

Cotton Squaring – Selected States

[These 15 States planted 99% of the 2010 cotton acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Alabama | 23 | 7 | 16 | 21 |
| Arizona | 43 | 40 | 50 | 43 |
| Arkansas | 77 | 9 | 18 | 56 |
| California | 21 | 3 | 20 | 30 |
| Georgia | 29 | 10 | 20 | 27 |
| Kansas | 2 | - | 3 | 2 |
| Louisiana | 53 | 44 | 70 | 56 |
| Mississippi | 50 | 17 | 37 | 45 |
| Missouri | 44 | 2 | 7 | 26 |
| North Carolina | 45 | 9 | 38 | 33 |
| Oklahoma | 3 | - | 3 | 5 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 3 | 10 | 16 |
| Tennessee | 24 | 2 | 18 | 29 |
| Texas | 16 | 14 | 18 | 18 |
| Virginia | 2 | 25 | 30 | 7 |
| 15 States | 26 | 12 | 21 | 25 |

- Represents zero.

Cotton Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Alabama | 14 | 25 | 44 | 16 | 1 |
| Arizona | - | 1 | 22 | 62 | 15 |
| Arkansas | 4 | 12 | 35 | 38 | 11 |
| California | - | 5 | 60 | 25 | 10 |
| Georgia | 19 | 25 | 38 | 15 | 3 |
| Kansas | 5 | 3 | 45 | 43 | 4 |
| Louisiana | 3 | 20 | 36 | 40 | 1 |
| Mississippi | 5 | 13 | 26 | 48 | 8 |
| Missouri | 6 | 19 | 32 | 40 | 3 |
| North Carolina | - | 6 | 32 | 58 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 30 | 18 | 34 | 18 | - |
| South Carolina | 4 | 19 | 43 | 34 | - |
| Tennessee | 1 | 8 | 21 | 64 | 6 |
| Texas | 35 | 19 | 32 | 14 | - |
| Virginia | - | - | 3 | 83 | 14 |
| 15 States | 22 | 17 | 35 | 24 | 2 |
| Previous week | 17 | 17 | 38 | 25 | 3 |
| Previous year | 1 | 4 | 33 | 49 | 13 |

- Represents zero.

Corn Emerged - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 92% of the 2010 corn acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Colorado | 100 | 90 | 99 | 98 |
| Illinois | 99 | 97 | 99 | 98 |
| Indiana | 100 | 81 | 94 | 97 |
| Iowa | 100 | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| Kansas | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 |
| Kentucky | 100 | 87 | 97 | 99 |
| Michigan | 100 | 78 | 93 | 99 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 90 | 98 | 100 |
| Missouri | 98 | 97 | 99 | 95 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| North Dakota | 98 | 74 | 91 | 99 |
| Ohio | 100 | 57 | 92 | 100 |
| Pennsylvania | 96 | 68 | 76 | 92 |
| South Dakota | 99 | 82 | 96 | 98 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 98 | 99 | 100 |
| Texas | 98 | 96 | 99 | 99 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 83 | 97 | 99 |
| 18 States | 100 | 91 | 97 | 99 |

Corn Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Colorado | - | 2 | 22 | 67 | 9 |
| Illinois | 2 | 5 | 25 | 51 | 17 |
| Indiana | 2 | 9 | 34 | 43 | 12 |
| Iowa | 1 | 2 | 13 | 58 | 26 |
| Kansas | 1 | 5 | 36 | 50 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 1 | 2 | 24 | 58 | 15 |
| Michigan | 2 | 8 | 30 | 49 | 11 |
| Minnesota | 1 | 4 | 20 | 62 | 13 |
| Missouri | 1 | 4 | 25 | 58 | 12 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 4 | 19 | 65 | 11 |
| North Carolina | 12 | 22 | 29 | 35 | 2 |
| North Dakota | - | 3 | 20 | 61 | 16 |
| Ohio | 2 | 9 | 35 | 47 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | 4 | 26 | 56 | 13 |
| South Dakota | 1 | 4 | 20 | 64 | 11 |
| Tennessee | 1 | 8 | 27 | 48 | 16 |
| Texas | 22 | 19 | 37 | 20 | 2 |
| Wisconsin | - | 2 | 16 | 66 | 16 |
| 18 States | 2 | 5 | 23 | 56 | 14 |
| Previous week | 2 | 4 | 25 | 56 | 13 |
| Previous year | 2 | 5 | 18 | 56 | 19 |

- Represents zero.

Soybeans Planted - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 95% of the 2010 soybean acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 95 | 82 | 91 | 90 |
| Illinois | 92 | 92 | 96 | 89 |
| Indiana | 91 | 78 | 90 | 92 |
| Iowa | 97 | 98 | 99 | 97 |
| Kansas | 87 | 85 | 92 | 85 |
| Kentucky | 84 | 65 | 77 | 82 |
| Louisiana | 99 | 95 | 96 | 98 |
| Michigan | 95 | 82 | 95 | 98 |
| Minnesota | 99 | 94 | 98 | 99 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 97 | 99 | 99 |
| Missouri | 78 | 77 | 88 | 79 |
| Nebraska | 99 | 98 | 100 | 98 |
| North Carolina | 83 | 66 | 80 | 76 |
| North Dakota | 98 | 80 | 95 | 99 |
| Ohio | 87 | 77 | 91 | 97 |
| South Dakota | 94 | 83 | 93 | 96 |
| Tennessee | 90 | 73 | 88 | 84 |
| Wisconsin | 99 | 91 | 97 | 98 |
| 18 States | 93 | 87 | 94 | 93 |

Soybeans Emerged - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 95% of the 2010 soybean acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 90 | 56 | 77 | 80 |
| Illinois | 86 | 76 | 91 | 81 |
| Indiana | 84 | 54 | 75 | 84 |
| Iowa | 94 | 92 | 95 | 93 |
| Kansas | 78 | 65 | 80 | 76 |
| Kentucky | 79 | 40 | 63 | 75 |
| Louisiana | 95 | 90 | 93 | 95 |
| Michigan | 89 | 49 | 76 | 92 |
| Minnesota | 99 | 67 | 89 | 97 |
| Mississippi | 98 | 91 | 96 | 97 |
| Missouri | 70 | 58 | 76 | 69 |
| Nebraska | 92 | 84 | 96 | 94 |
| North Carolina | 72 | 55 | 69 | 64 |
| North Dakota | 88 | 35 | 64 | 91 |
| Ohio | 80 | 29 | 66 | 92 |
| South Dakota | 79 | 39 | 67 | 84 |
| Tennessee | 76 | 44 | 64 | 70 |
| Wisconsin | 92 | 64 | 84 | 91 |
| 18 States | 87 | 64 | 82 | 86 |

Soybean Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 4 | 10 | 39 | 38 | 9 |
| Illinois | 2 | 5 | 30 | 52 | 11 |
| Indiana | 3 | 7 | 34 | 46 | 10 |
| Iowa | 1 | 2 | 15 | 59 | 23 |
| Kansas | 1 | 3 | 33 | 56 | 7 |
| Kentucky | - | 1 | 19 | 68 | 12 |
| Louisiana | 11 | 25 | 28 | 35 | 1 |
| Michigan | 2 | 4 | 34 | 51 | 9 |
| Minnesota | 1 | 3 | 21 | 64 | 11 |
| Mississippi | 4 | 8 | 25 | 53 | 10 |
| Missouri | 1 | 3 | 29 | 58 | 9 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 2 | 19 | 70 | 8 |
| North Carolina | - | 11 | 43 | 43 | 3 |
| North Dakota | - | 3 | 15 | 68 | 14 |
| Ohio | 1 | 5 | 34 | 52 | 8 |
| South Dakota | 2 | 4 | 30 | 55 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 1 | 3 | 17 | 68 | 11 |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 2 | 16 | 68 | 13 |
| 18 States | 2 | 4 | 26 | 57 | 11 |
| Previous week | 1 | 4 | 28 | 57 | 10 |
| Previous year | 2 | 6 | 23 | 54 | 15 |

- Represents zero.

Sunflowers Planted - Selected States

[These 4 States planted 85% of the 2010 sunflower acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Colorado | 82 | 50 | 70 | 82 |
| Kansas | 57 | 37 | 57 | 66 |
| North Dakota | 91 | 64 | 78 | 95 |
| South Dakota | 70 | 50 | 73 | 74 |
| 4 States | 81 | 56 | 74 | 85 |

Sorghum Planted - Selected States

[These 11 States planted 98% of the 2010 sorghum acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| Colorado | 93 | 42 | 70 | 79 |
| Illinois | 79 | 70 | 79 | 70 |
| Kansas | 81 | 68 | 86 | 79 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Missouri | 83 | 70 | 83 | 81 |
| Nebraska | 90 | 94 | 97 | 95 |
| New Mexico | 71 | 47 | 76 | 79 |
| Oklahoma | 80 | 68 | 83 | 68 |
| South Dakota | 92 | 75 | 92 | 91 |
| Texas | 92 | 86 | 87 | 92 |
| 11 States | 86 | 75 | 86 | 84 |

Sorghum Headed – Selected States

[These 11 States planted 98% of the 2010 sorghum acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 6 | (NA) | 4 | 3 |
| Colorado | - | (NA) | - | - |
| Illinois | 2 | (NA) | - | - |
| Kansas | - | (NA) | - | - |
| Louisiana | 58 | (NA) | 67 | 29 |
| Missouri | 1 | (NA) | - | 1 |
| Nebraska | - | (NA) | - | - |
| New Mexico | - | (NA) | - | - |
| Oklahoma | - | (NA) | - | - |
| South Dakota | - | (NA) | - | - |
| Texas | 45 | (NA) | 67 | 47 |
| 11 States | 17 | (NA) | 25 | 17 |

- Represents zero.

(NA) Not available.

Sorghum Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 2 | 8 | 52 | 35 | 3 |
| Colorado | - | 25 | 48 | 22 | 5 |
| Illinois | - | 9 | 67 | 24 | - |
| Kansas | 3 | 5 | 44 | 45 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 5 | 18 | 29 | 48 | - |
| Missouri | - | - | 32 | 65 | 3 |
| Nebraska | - | 2 | 17 | 76 | 5 |
| New Mexico | 20 | 14 | 64 | 2 | - |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 12 | 49 | 37 | - |
| South Dakota | - | - | 16 | 70 | 14 |
| Texas | 18 | 19 | 40 | 21 | 2 |
| 11 States | 8 | 11 | 42 | 36 | 3 |
| Previous week | 9 | 12 | 40 | 36 | 3 |
| Previous year | - | 2 | 25 | 61 | 12 |

- Represents zero.

Peanuts Planted - Selected States

[These 8 States planted 98% of the 2010 peanut acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Alabama | 93 | 78 | 82 | 96 |
| Florida | 100 | 96 | 98 | 98 |
| Georgia | 99 | 94 | 98 | 98 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 89 | 100 | 100 |
| South Carolina | 100 | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| Texas | 98 | 95 | 97 | 98 |
| Virginia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 |
| 8 States | 98 | 93 | 96 | 98 |

Peanuts Pegging – Selected States

[These 8 States planted 98% of the 2010 peanut acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Alabama | - | (NA) | 8 | 3 |
| Florida | 14 | (NA) | 3 | 15 |
| Georgia | 10 | (NA) | 8 | 7 |
| North Carolina | 10 | (NA) | 12 | 10 |
| Oklahoma | 2 | (NA) | 1 | 14 |
| South Carolina | 10 | (NA) | 3 | 10 |
| Texas | 1 | (NA) | - | 3 |
| Virginia | 2 | (NA) | 15 | 4 |
| 8 States | 8 | (NA) | 6 | 7 |

- Represents zero.

(NA) Not available.

Peanut Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Alabama | 15 | 25 | 44 | 16 | - |
| Florida | 17 | 22 | 45 | 16 | - |
| Georgia | 11 | 23 | 40 | 24 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 1 | 7 | 33 | 55 | 4 |
| Oklahoma | 1 | 15 | 12 | 68 | 4 |
| South Carolina | 1 | 9 | 47 | 41 | 2 |
| Texas | - | 20 | 44 | 36 | - |
| Virginia | - | - | 3 | 85 | 12 |
| 8 States | 9 | 20 | 41 | 28 | 2 |
| Previous week | 9 | 22 | 40 | 27 | 2 |
| Previous year | - | 2 | 31 | 58 | 9 |

- Represents zero.

Rice Emerged - Selected States

[These 6 States planted 100% of the 2010 rice acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 100 | 96 | 100 | 99 |
| California | 79 | 75 | 85 | 84 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Missouri | 100 | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| Texas | 97 | 84 | 85 | 99 |
| 6 States | 97 | 93 | 97 | 97 |

Rice Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acres]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 5 | 11 | 36 | 38 | 10 |
| California | - | - | 15 | 15 | 70 |
| Louisiana | 4 | 7 | 31 | 39 | 19 |
| Mississippi | - | 2 | 34 | 48 | 16 |
| Missouri | 2 | 7 | 27 | 48 | 16 |
| Texas | 7 | 2 | 47 | 33 | 11 |
| 6 States | 4 | 7 | 32 | 35 | 22 |
| Previous week | 2 | 7 | 33 | 36 | 22 |
| Previous year | - | 4 | 21 | 53 | 22 |

- Represents zero.

Winter Wheat Headed - Selected States

[These 18 States planted 91% of the 2010 winter wheat acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| California | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Colorado | 99 | 94 | 98 | 99 |
| Idaho | 27 | 19 | 33 | 56 |
| Illinois | 99 | 99 | 100 | 99 |
| Indiana | 100 | 96 | 99 | 100 |
| Kansas | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Michigan | 99 | 93 | 98 | 97 |
| Missouri | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Montana | 15 | 1 | 13 | 48 |
| Nebraska | 95 | 81 | 93 | 98 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ohio | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Oregon | 94 | 78 | 90 | 95 |
| South Dakota | 89 | 37 | 68 | 88 |
| Texas | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Washington | 78 | 41 | 70 | 87 |
| 18 States | 91 | 85 | 90 | 94 |

Winter Wheat Harvested – Selected States

[These 18 States harvested 91% of the 2010 winter wheat acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 86 | 70 | 90 | 84 |
| California | 47 | 15 | 25 | 52 |
| Colorado | - | - | - | 4 |
| Idaho | - | - | - | - |
| Illinois | 19 | 1 | 4 | 23 |
| Indiana | 13 | - | 4 | 12 |
| Kansas | 9 | 11 | 27 | 16 |
| Michigan | - | - | - | - |
| Missouri | 38 | 9 | 25 | 33 |
| Montana | - | - | - | - |
| Nebraska | - | - | - | - |
| North Carolina | 74 | 69 | 87 | 58 |
| Ohio | - | - | - | - |
| Oklahoma | 51 | 83 | 91 | 62 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | - |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | 6 |
| Texas | 41 | 46 | 71 | 51 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - |
| 18 States | 17 | 22 | 31 | 22 |

- Represents zero.

Winter Wheat Condition - Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Arkansas | 8 | 16 | 27 | 40 | 9 |
| California | - | - | 5 | 20 | 75 |
| Colorado | 9 | 14 | 39 | 33 | 5 |
| Idaho | 2 | 7 | 16 | 62 | 13 |
| Illinois | 2 | 7 | 35 | 47 | 9 |
| Indiana | 3 | 10 | 29 | 46 | 12 |
| Kansas | 23 | 27 | 30 | 18 | 2 |
| Michigan | 1 | 5 | 21 | 57 | 16 |
| Missouri | 9 | 11 | 30 | 40 | 10 |
| Montana | 1 | 7 | 23 | 52 | 17 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 14 | 27 | 50 | 8 |
| North Carolina | 1 | 1 | 12 | 56 | 30 |
| Ohio | 3 | 9 | 31 | 44 | 13 |
| Oklahoma | 38 | 36 | 21 | 5 | - |
| Oregon | 1 | 4 | 13 | 62 | 20 |
| South Dakota | - | 2 | 13 | 60 | 25 |
| Texas | 54 | 23 | 14 | 9 | - |
| Washington | 1 | 3 | 16 | 53 | 27 |
| 18 States | 22 | 19 | 23 | 28 | 8 |
| Previous week | 23 | 19 | 23 | 27 | 8 |
| Previous year | 2 | 7 | 26 | 51 | 14 |

- Represents zero.

Spring Wheat Planted - Selected States

[These 6 States planted 99% of the 2010 spring wheat acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 |
| Montana | 100 | 83 | 90 | 100 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 82 | 86 | 100 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Washington | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 6 States | 100 | 88 | 91 | 100 |

Spring Wheat Emerged - Selected States

[These 6 States planted 99% of the 2010 spring wheat acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Idaho | 99 | 93 | 96 | 100 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 93 | 99 | 100 |
| Montana | 96 | 63 | 69 | 99 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 64 | 78 | 99 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 93 | 100 | 100 |
| Washington | 100 | 96 | 99 | 100 |
| 6 States | 99 | 73 | 83 | 99 |

Spring Wheat Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Idaho | - | 2 | 20 | 69 | 9 |
| Minnesota | 1 | 1 | 18 | 65 | 15 |
| Montana | 1 | 5 | 41 | 46 | 7 |
| North Dakota | - | 2 | 24 | 60 | 14 |
| South Dakota | - | 1 | 21 | 65 | 13 |
| Washington | - | - | 19 | 67 | 14 |
| 6 States | - | 2 | 26 | 60 | 12 |
| Previous week | - | 2 | 30 | 57 | 11 |
| Previous year | - | 1 | 15 | 67 | 17 |

- Represents zero.

Barley Planted - Selected States

[These 5 States planted 75% of the 2010 barley acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| Montana | 100 | 91 | 94 | 100 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 74 | 77 | 100 |
| Washington | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| 5 States | 100 | 88 | 90 | 100 |

Barley Emerged - Selected States

[These 5 States planted 75% of the 2010 barley acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Idaho | 94 | 86 | 95 | 98 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 97 | 98 | 100 |
| Montana | 99 | 72 | 77 | 99 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 56 | 67 | 99 |
| Washington | 100 | 97 | 98 | 100 |
| 5 States | 98 | 72 | 79 | 99 |

Barley Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Idaho | 1 | 2 | 25 | 61 | 11 |
| Minnesota | 1 | 2 | 11 | 66 | 20 |
| Montana | - | 5 | 25 | 60 | 10 |
| North Dakota | - | 3 | 21 | 66 | 10 |
| Washington | - | - | 16 | 70 | 14 |
| 5 States | - | 3 | 23 | 63 | 11 |
| Previous week | 1 | 4 | 29 | 56 | 10 |
| Previous year | - | 1 | 13 | 70 | 16 |

- Represents zero.

Oats Emerged - Selected States

[These 9 States planted 65% of the 2010 oat acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Iowa | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 96 | 99 | 100 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| North Dakota | 99 | 57 | 73 | 100 |
| Ohio | 100 | 71 | 99 | 100 |
| Pennsylvania | 100 | 91 | 98 | 100 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 95 | 100 | 100 |
| Texas | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 96 | 100 | 100 |
| 9 States | 100 | 91 | 96 | 100 |

Oats Headed - Selected States

[These 9 States planted 65% of the 2010 oat acreage]

| State | Week ending | | | 2006-2010 Average |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | June 19, 2010 | June 12, 2011 | June 19, 2011 | |
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Iowa | 76 | 30 | 54 | 61 |
| Minnesota | 60 | 3 | 11 | 33 |
| Nebraska | 71 | 42 | 65 | 72 |
| North Dakota | - | - | - | 6 |
| Ohio | 75 | 8 | 12 | 71 |
| Pennsylvania | 61 | 1 | 14 | 54 |
| South Dakota | 38 | 6 | 14 | 36 |
| Texas | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Wisconsin | 65 | 4 | 17 | 41 |
| 9 States | 64 | 33 | 41 | 55 |

- Represents zero.

Oat Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National crop conditions for selected States are weighted based on 2010 planted acreage]

| State | Very poor | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) | (percent) |
| Iowa | - | 2 | 20 | 63 | 15 |
| Minnesota | - | 3 | 20 | 61 | 16 |
| Nebraska | - | 2 | 12 | 76 | 10 |
| North Dakota | - | 1 | 24 | 64 | 11 |
| Ohio | 1 | 3 | 55 | 32 | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | 4 | 30 | 48 | 17 |
| South Dakota | - | 1 | 13 | 71 | 15 |
| Texas | 52 | 20 | 21 | 7 | - |
| Wisconsin | - | 1 | 12 | 72 | 15 |
| 9 States | 14 | 7 | 20 | 49 | 10 |
| Previous week | 14 | 7 | 20 | 50 | 9 |
| Previous year | 1 | 3 | 15 | 64 | 17 |

- Represents zero.

Pasture and Range Condition – Selected States: Week Ending June 19, 2011

[National pasture and range conditions for selected States are weighted based on pasture acreage and/or livestock inventories]

| State | Very poor (percent) | Poor (percent) | Fair (percent) | Good (percent) | Excellent (percent) |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Alabama | 22 | 28 | 36 | 14 | - |
| Arizona | 31 | 29 | 20 | 16 | 4 |
| Arkansas | 1 | 13 | 37 | 44 | 5 |
| California | - | 5 | 25 | 55 | 15 |
| Colorado | 11 | 24 | 23 | 33 | 9 |
| Connecticut | - | - | 25 | 39 | 36 |
| Delaware | 1 | 15 | 31 | 53 | - |
| Florida | 10 | 40 | 37 | 13 | - |
| Georgia | 39 | 28 | 24 | 8 | 1 |
| Idaho | - | 1 | 16 | 69 | 14 |
| Illinois | 1 | 2 | 15 | 55 | 27 |
| Indiana | 1 | 6 | 28 | 50 | 15 |
| Iowa | - | 4 | 18 | 57 | 21 |
| Kansas | 17 | 16 | 26 | 33 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 1 | 5 | 29 | 55 | 10 |
| Louisiana | 34 | 32 | 26 | 8 | - |
| Maine | - | 1 | 7 | 65 | 27 |
| Maryland | 7 | 8 | 33 | 47 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | - | - | 3 | 93 | 4 |
| Michigan | 1 | 2 | 18 | 59 | 20 |
| Minnesota | - | 1 | 11 | 65 | 23 |
| Mississippi | 23 | 35 | 30 | 12 | - |
| Missouri | - | 4 | 31 | 59 | 6 |
| Montana | - | 3 | 15 | 41 | 41 |
| Nebraska | - | 2 | 15 | 69 | 14 |
| Nevada | - | - | 30 | 56 | 14 |
| New Hampshire | - | 1 | 4 | 48 | 47 |
| New Jersey | - | - | 20 | 50 | 30 |
| New Mexico | 50 | 34 | 16 | - | - |
| New York | 1 | 3 | 21 | 57 | 18 |
| North Carolina | 3 | 13 | 35 | 47 | 2 |
| North Dakota | - | 2 | 13 | 57 | 28 |
| Ohio | 2 | 8 | 32 | 48 | 10 |
| Oklahoma | 18 | 24 | 35 | 21 | 2 |
| Oregon | 1 | 3 | 18 | 68 | 10 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | 6 | 31 | 42 | 19 |
| Rhode Island | - | - | - | 100 | - |
| South Carolina | 16 | 32 | 43 | 9 | - |
| South Dakota | - | 2 | 12 | 61 | 25 |
| Tennessee | 3 | 12 | 31 | 45 | 9 |
| Texas | 57 | 26 | 13 | 4 | - |
| Utah | - | 1 | 16 | 69 | 14 |
| Vermont | - | 3 | 16 | 51 | 30 |
| Virginia | 1 | 8 | 28 | 53 | 10 |
| Washington | - | 1 | 18 | 60 | 21 |
| West Virginia | - | 2 | 40 | 53 | 5 |
| Wisconsin | - | 2 | 14 | 66 | 18 |
| Wyoming | - | 4 | 15 | 70 | 11 |
| 48 States | 13 | 12 | 22 | 42 | 11 |
| Previous week | 12 | 13 | 22 | 42 | 11 |
| Previous year | 1 | 5 | 23 | 56 | 15 |

- Represents zero.

Crop Progress and Condition Tables Expected Next Week

Barley: Planted, Emerged, Condition

Corn: Silking, Condition

Cotton: Squaring, Setting Bolls, Condition

Oats: Headed, Condition

Pasture and Range: Condition

Peanuts: Pegging, Condition

Rice: Headed, Condition

Sorghum: Planted, Headed, Condition

Soybeans: Planted, Emerged, Condition

Spring Wheat: Planted, Emerged, Condition

Sunflowers: Planted

Winter Wheat: Headed, Harvested, Condition

Statistical Methodology

Survey Procedures: Crop progress and condition estimates are based on survey data collected each week from early April through the end of November. The non-probability crop progress and condition surveys include input from approximately 5,000 reporters whose occupations provide them opportunities to make visual observations and frequently bring them in contact with farmers in their counties. Based on standard definitions, these reporters subjectively estimate the progress of crops through various stages of development, as well as the progress of producer activities. They also provide subjective evaluations of crop conditions.

Most reporters complete their questionnaires on Friday or early Monday morning and submit them to the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) Field Offices in their States by mail, telephone, fax, e-mail, or through a secured internet website. A small number of reports are completed on Thursday, Saturday, and Sunday. Regardless of when questionnaires are completed, reporters are asked to report for the entire week ending on Sunday. For reports submitted prior to the Sunday reference date, a degree of uncertainty is introduced by projections for weekend changes in progress and condition. By the end of the 2009 season, over 80 percent of the data were being submitted through the internet website. As a result, the majority of all data are submitted on Monday morning, significantly reducing projection uncertainty.

Reporters are sent written reporting instructions at the beginning of each season and are contacted periodically to ensure proper reporting. Terms and definitions of crop stages and condition categories used as reporting guidelines are available on the NASS website at www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/National_Crop_Progress.

Estimating Procedures: Reported data are reviewed for reasonableness and consistency by comparing with data reported the previous week and data reported in surrounding counties for the current week. Each State Field Office summarizes the reported data to district and State levels, weighting each county's reported data by NASS county acreage estimates. Summarized indications are compared with previous week estimates, and progress items are compared with earlier stages of development and historical averages to ensure reasonableness. Weather events and reporter comments are also taken into consideration. State estimates are submitted to the Agricultural Statistics Board (ASB) along with supporting comments, where they are compared with surrounding States and compiled into a National level summary by weighting each State by its acreage estimates.

Revision Policy: Progress and condition estimates in the *Crop Progress* report are released after 4:00 pm ET on the first business day of the week. These estimates are subject to revision the following week.

Information Contacts

Listed below are the commodity statisticians in the Crops Branch of the National Agricultural Statistics Service to contact for additional information. E-mail inquiries may be sent to nass@nass.usda.gov

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Lance Honig, Chief, Crops Branch | (202) 720-2127 |
| Jacqueline Moore, Head, Field Crops Section | (202) 720-2127 |
| Suzanne Avilla – Peanuts, Rice..... | (202) 720-7688 |
| Bryan Durham – Oats, Rye, Wheat..... | (202) 720-8068 |
| Steve Maliszewski – Cotton, Cotton Ginnings, Sorghum..... | (202) 720-5944 |
| Anthony Prillaman – Corn, Proso Millet, Flaxseed | (202) 720-9526 |
| Julie Schmidt – Crop Weather, Barley, Hay | (202) 720-7621 |
| Travis Thorson – Soybeans, Sunflower, Other Oilseeds..... | (202) 720-7369 |

Access to NASS Reports

For your convenience, you may access NASS reports and products the following ways:

- All reports are available electronically, at no cost, on the NASS web site: <http://www.nass.usda.gov>
- Both national and state specific reports are available via a free e-mail subscription. To set-up this free subscription, visit <http://www.nass.usda.gov> and in the “Receive NASS Updates” box under “Receive reports by Email,” click on “National” or “State” to select the reports you would like to receive.
- Printed reports may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by calling toll-free (800) 999-6779, or (703) 605-6220 if calling from outside the United States or Canada. Accepted methods of payment are Visa, MasterCard, check, or money order.

For more information on NASS surveys and reports, call the NASS Agricultural Statistics Hotline at (800) 727-9540, 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET, or e-mail: nass@nass.usda.gov.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, political beliefs, genetic information, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotope, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Stop 9410, Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call toll-free at (866) 632-9992 (English) or (800) 877-8339 (TDD) or (866) 377-8642 (English Federal-relay) or (800) 845-6136 (Spanish Federal-relay). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.